

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

		<u> </u>		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,823	04/23/2001	Itsuo Watanabe	566.39636X00	9887
20457	7590 02/20/2002			
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET ARLINGTON, VA 22209			EXAMINER	
			CUNEO, KAMAND	
ARLINGION	I, VA 22209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 02/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	4/76282	9/762823		
omoc Aonon Gammary	Examiner	Group Art Unit		
		aueo 2827		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover shee	et beneath the correspondence address		
Pridfr Reply	<b>^</b>			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS DF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE		
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, the Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within</li></ul>	days, a reply within the statutory m by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	inimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.		
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		•		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	e except for formal matters, <b>p</b> i nyle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G.	rosecution as to the merits is closed in 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
Claim(s) /-3/		is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)				
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————				
		-		
Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election			
Application Papers		requirement.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent	Drawing Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approve	d 🗆 disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re objected to by the Examine	er.		
	to by the Examine			
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	miner. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example in the control of the CERTIFIED control	miner. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9( ppies of the priority documents	s have been		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example Trity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED condition received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial in received in this national stage application from	miner.  riority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9( ppies of the priority documents  Il Number)  the International Bureau (PC	s have been TRule 1 7.2(a)).		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example in the content of the content of the central interest of the central	miner.  riority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9( ppies of the priority documents  Il Number)  the International Bureau (PC	s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example of the content of the Certified copies not received:  ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example of the Certified copies not received to by the Example of the Certified copies not received.  ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example of the Certified copies not received to by the Example of the Ex	miner. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9( opies of the priority documents of Number) of the International Bureau (PC)	s have been  T Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example of the Cart of the Ca	miner.  riority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9( ppies of the priority documents  I Number)  the International Bureau (PC)	s have been  T Rule 1 7.2(a)).  □ Interview Summary, PTO-413		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example of the content of the Certified copies not received:  ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example of the Certified copies not received to by the Example of the Certified copies not received.  ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example of the Certified copies not received to by the Example of the Ex	miner.  riority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9( ppies of the priority documents  I Number)  the International Bureau (PC	s have been  T Rule 1 7.2(a)).		

Serial Number: 09/762823

Art Unit: 2827

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1, 3-21, drawn to a composition, classified in class 428, subclass 214.
  - II. Claims 2, 22, 28-31 drawn to a board, classified in class 174, subclass 256.
  - III. Claims 26-27, drawn to a method, classified in class 29, subclass 825.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions III and I-II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by placing the electrodes in proximity and injecting adhesive between them to make an electrical connection.

3. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed such as the modulus of elasticity and thermal coefficient of expansion recited in claims 8 and 9, the particle size and composition as recited in

3

Serial Number: 09/762823

Art Unit: 2827

claims 14 and 15. The subcombination has separate utility such as an adhesive.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Also because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the full search required for any one of the Groups is not required for the other of the Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A defined by adhesive 1 recited on page 3,

Species B defined by adhesive 2 recited on page 4,

Species C defined by adhesive 3 recited on page 4,

Species D defined by adhesive 4 recited on page 5.

6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, no claims are generic.

7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is

Serial Number: 09/762823

Art Unit: 2827

considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. A telephone call was made to Mr. Solomon on 2/16/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5

Serial Number: 09/762823

Art Unit: 2827

10. Any inquiries related to the examination of this application should be directed to Ex. K. Cuneo at (703) 308-1233 or her supervisor SPE D. Talbott at (703) 305-9883. Inquiries of a general nature should be directed to the receptionist of Group 2800 at (703) 308-0956. The fax numbers for Group 2800 are (703) 308-7722 and 7724.

K. Cuneo

Primary Examiner February 16, 2002